

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/074,687	CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala. (3) ____.

(2) Mr. Scott Smith. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 146 and 149.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner informed the attorney that claim 149 was dependent upon the canceled claim 148 and should depend from claim 146. Attorney authorized the examiner to correct the dependency of claim 149 to 146.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.